

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/571,619	03/13/2006	David Adderton	043757	4800	
	616 7590 02/17/2009 THE MAXHAM FIRM			EXAMINER	
9330 SCRANTON ROAD, SUITE 350	0	MACARTHUR, VICTOR L	R, VICTOR L		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/571.619 ADDERTON, DAVID Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit VICTOR MACARTHUR -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-17 is/are pending in the application

4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn i						
_ , .,—	Toni Consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-17 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	ed or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw	wing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	ave been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	he certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5). Notice of Informal Patert Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
S, Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action	Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090213					

Application/Control Number: 10/571,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- The recitation "a first rail portion and a second rail portion, each portion having a
 constant cross section, the cross section" (lines 2-3 of claim 1) is unclear. Does "the
 cross section" (line 3 of claim 1) refer to the cross section of the first rail, the cross
 section of the second rail, or both cross sections?
- It is unclear how the limitation "each a first arm" (line 9 of claim 1) is meant to limit
 the claim. Are there a plurality of first arms?
- The word "means" (line 10 of claim 7) is preceded by the word(s) "locking" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See Ex parte Klumb, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).
- It is unclear how "relative clearance" (line13 of claim 7) is meant to limit the claims.
 Is there a clearance space between elements? If so, which elements? Does the recitation mean to set forth a degree of clearance? If so it is impossible to determine

such a degree as claimed. How would one determine if a prior art clearance is relative or not? How is a relative clearance structurally different from a non-relative clearance?

For the reasons mentioned above a great deal of confusion and uncertainty exists as to the proper interpretation of the claim limitations. In accordance with the MPEP § 2173.06, rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103 follows based on the examiner's best understanding of the claim scope. The applicant is strongly urged to amend the entirety of the claims (not only the examples listed above) to conform to current U.S. practice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bailey (U.S. Patent 5,452,880)

Bailey appears to disclose all of the applicants elected claim limitations as best understood by the examiner (see 35 U.S.C. § 112 2nd paragraph rejections above). Note that a panel of pickets (or palings) is panel within the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term. Furthermore, note that panels are otherwise expressly disclosed in lines 20-25 of column 8.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment (i.e., the newly added limitations to claims 1 and 7) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (571) 272-7085. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

February 17, 2009

/Victor MacArthur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679